UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,292	09/09/2003	Tetsuya Sawano	0905-0291P	1378
2292 7590 12/31/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747		·	HERRING, VIRGIL A	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2132	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
,			12/31/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/657,292	SAWANO, TETSUYA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Virgil Herring	2132	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periorallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTA ate, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final.  ance except for formal matter		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the file.	ccepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list.</li> </ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apliority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date  brmal Patent Application  .	

10/657,292 Art Unit: 2132

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to the amendment filed 4 October 2007. Claim 5 was previously cancelled. Claims 1-4 are currently pending.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takaoka (JP 2002-051156A) in view of Miki et al. (US 2004/0029552 A1).

With regards to claims 1-4, Takaoka discloses a mobile telephone which receives image data from a monitoring camera. The image data is displayed on the monitor of the mobile telephone (see abstract). Furthermore, a number of other devices can receive the image data, such as a notebook computer, PDA, or PHS (¶ 0005, 0009).

Application/Control Number:

10/657,292 Art Unit: 2132

With regards to claims 1-4, Takaoka does not expressly disclose that the mobile phone requests the monitored image or model information. However, Miki et al. disclose a system in which a mobile phone communicates with an image transmitter to receive images. Once the connection between the mobile phone and the image transmitter is established, the image transmitter requests model information for the mobile telephone. Miki et al. and Takaoka are analogous art, because both allow the transmission of an image over a network to a mobile telephone. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to apply the request for image data and transmission of model information taught by Miki et al. to the monitoring system of Takaoka, to allow for differences in the mobile telephone preferences of various users. Furthermore, one skilled in the art would recognize that including the model information with the request for image data would be an obvious variation which accomplishes the same result with half the number of steps, allowing the user quicker access to the monitored image of Takaoka.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sugumoto et al. (US 2003/0110239 A1) disclose similar content to Miki et al. A server distributing an application to a mobile telephone first acquires model information for the telephone.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virgil Herring whose telephone number is (571) 272-8189. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Virgil Herring ∨ ℍ Examiner Art Unit 2132

VH

GILBERTO BARRON JY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100